











## BARRINGTON REVIEW

ESTABLISHED 1885

LESLIE W. MCCLURE, Editor and Publisher

WALTER R. WINTERINGHAM, Business Director and Foreman



ILLINOIS PRESS ASSOCIATION

Published every Thursday afternoon at Barrington, Illinois, and entered as second-class matter at the Barrington post office under Act of March 3, 1893.

All communications should be addressed to BARRINGTON REVIEW, 110 W. Main Street, Barrington, Illinois. Cards of thanks, resolutions of society and church sales and parties given for pecuniary benefit will be charged for.

TELEPHONE, BARRINGTON NO. 1

## THIS PART OF THE PEOPLE

Governor Horner has gone to great effort to show that the 2 per cent tax is an occupational and not a sales tax. That is an admittance of the very thing with which citizens have found fault. IT IS AN OCCUPATIONAL TAX, but just what is an occupational tax?

We do not believe a general definition is necessary, but a definition of the Illinois occupational tax might accurately be stated "tax on the gross income of part of the people." It is a tax on the gross income of those unfortunate individuals who own retail stores or stock in retail stores. It is true the law pretends that the tax may be passed on to the consuming public through increased prices for merchandise. Prices can be conveniently increased on some merchandise, but not on other merchandise, and the law does not and cannot alter this fact in any way.

No doubt the property owners will welcome a cut in tax levy because of the revenue raised through the occupational tax. But they should remember that someone must provide that revenue.

If the occupational tax were levied on gross receipts from all occupations whether small, wholesale, professional, trade work or what not, it would be a lot more equitable. After all, the butcher should not be forced to pay a gross income tax if the lawyer escapes it; the grocer should not be forced to pay a gross income tax if the doctor escapes it; the hardware dealer should not be forced to pay if the plumber is exempt; the tobacco store proprietor should not have to pay if the bank clerk is exempt; the news stand owner should not have to pay if the seller of advertising space is exempt; the lumber dealer should not have to pay if the carpenter is exempt; and the seller of automobiles should not have to pay if the mechanic who works for him is exempt.

It may relieve personal property and real estate but as long as it is rank class legislation it ought to be abolished or corrected.

## GIVE US THE FACTS

A barrage of radio programs extolling the present administration and setting forth claims of tremendous accomplishments during the last few months dominated the radio channels during the closing days of 1932. The purpose of these claims undoubtedly was that of inspiring confidence in the mass of citizens rather than in placing glory on the heads of the president and his staff of assistants.

There is nothing wrong with the effort to inspire confidence. No government can succeed without confidence of the people. No prosperity can be established unless the majority of people believe in it. Real prosperity is certainly born in the minds of the mass of citizens.

It is inescapable that the efforts to instill confidence by recounting accomplishments will succeed if the accomplishments are real and will fail if the accomplishments are fictitious. There is only one way to instill general confidence. That is by actually and truly accomplishing. The public should be given the facts whether favorable or unfavorable. If true facts of favorable conditions are broadcasted through the press, radio, etc., confidence followed by prosperity will result. If true facts of unfavorable accomplishments or of lack of accomplishment are broadcasted, the public will react in such a way that the foundation for later return of prosperity will be laid. If a lot of sorgusin is spread over the country to cover up true conditions, the public will lose every bit of faith that it had before. There is only one course—give the public the real facts favorable or bad, and let prosperity take its course.

## DON'T STAY BURIED

This story isn't ours, but nevertheless it is a good one: A farmer had an old blind horse which had outlived his usefulness. One day he fell into an old well. The farmer looked in and saw him standing deep in the water. There seemed to be no way to get him out, as he was quite useless, and the well abandoned and dangerous, the farmer ordered his men to fill up the well and leave the horse buried in it.

The men began to shovel dirt into the well. But the horse was not willing to be buried. When the dirt began to pour in upon him he began to shake it off and tread it under foot. As the well was filled up the horse came nearer and nearer to the surface, and when the men finished their task the horse walked out into the pasture.

The very things which conspired to bury the lion-hearted these days are used by them to lift themselves out of difficulties and into the light. The very last thing one should do is to agree to stay dead and buried.

## EDITORIAL SHORTS

Not every boy can become a Ford, an Edison, or a Rockefeller, but every one who is not afraid or ashamed to work can make an honorable and useful place for himself and he doesn't have to begin in a "white-collar" job either.

It's a mighty sturdy public building that stands until the last bond issue for its construction is paid off.

Sometimes people speak lightly of the country newspapers, but it is one of the most potent and uplifting factors in our national existence. The great dailies have their mission, but their scope is too big to touch very closely the inner things of life.

## Church News

## FIRST BAPTIST

9:30 a. m., Bible school  
10:30 a. m., Christian Fellowship  
6:45 p. m., B.Y.P.U. and Juniors

7:30 p. m., Evening service.

For the month of January the pastor will preach the new sermon "Sowing for the Future."

Jan. 7, 8 a. m., "Essentials of Prayer and Communication"; p. m., "Patience, Perseverance, and Prayer."

Jan. 14, 8 a. m., "Sowing for the Future"; p. m., "The Pattern on the Mountain."

Jan. 21, 8 a. m., "Things to Fix Upon"; p. m., "Christ's Example Inspires Fortitude and Purity of Life."

Jan. 28, 8 a. m., "The Path to the End."

The inspiration of music controls the helplessness of all the gospel meetings. For the New Year we will all sing more hymns, more songs and exhortations, and we invite all the friends of our church to enlist with us in the service of our Lord. We extend a cordial welcome to all visitors.

REV. C. R. DRUSCHER, Pastor

## METHODIST EPISCOPAL

9:30 a. m., Sunday school hour

10:30 a. m., Children's service.

10:45 a. m., Morning service.

Quarterly communion service.

Rev. H. L. REUSCHER, Pastor

## SOUTH CHURCH

(Sunday School Church)

Penny road between Barrington and

Lipofsky, second floor.

2:30 p. m., Bible school. Classes

for all ages.

8:00 p. m., Gospel meeting.

Thursday nights, 8 p. m., Cottage

prayer meeting.

## SUTTON BIBLE CHURCH

## ST. ANNE

Sunday, 9:30 a. m., and 10:45 a. m.

Tuesday, 7:30 a. m., English morning service.

Wednesday, 7:30 a. m., English morning service.

Thursday, 7:30 a. m., English morning service.

REV. H. L. KOENIG, Pastor

## SALEM EVANGELICAL

Regular divine service, services

will be held at 10:30 a. m. and at

REV. H. E. KOENIG, Pastor

## Annual Crop Summary for 1932

The gross crop value of the principal Illinois crops produced during the 1932 season was about \$150,000,000.

This is an increase of \$41,000,000 or about 36 per cent over the gross farm value of \$108,750,000 in 1932, according to a summary of the 1932 crop issues by the Illinois and federal departments of agriculture.

The gross crop value of the 1932

crops was \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$41,000,000 or 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$108,750,000 and the total

crop value increased 36 per cent.

The 1932 crop value was

about \$150,000,000 and the total&lt;/



